

Framing of issue in the matter of Petition filed by Multan Electric Power Company Limited (MEPCO) for the determination of its Consumer-End tariff pertaining to the FY 2015-16 based on actual/estimated result for FY 2014-15 as Base Year.

For the purpose of hearing the following issues may be framed to be considered during the hearing and for presenting written as well as oral evidence and arguments:-

- i. Whether the petitioner has complied with the directions of the Authority given in the tariff determination for the FY 2014-15?
- ii. Whether the petitioner request to true-up network system and establishment of new offices for better services to consumers and annual pay and pension increased be trued up based on notification issued GOP for pay increase, merits consideration?
- iii. Whether the Petitioner's proposed transmission and distribution losses for FY 2015-16, are justified?
- iv. What are the details of the requested UOSC by the Petitioner and whether the requests are justified?
- v. Whether the concerns raised by the Petitioner regarding inadequacy of the current system for the calculation Wheeling charges, is justified?
- vi. Whether the request of the Petitioner for additional hiring cost of Rs. 1,337 million, is justified?
- vii. Whether the petitioner's projected power purchases & sales for the FY 2015-16, is reasonable?
- viii. Whether the Petitioner's request to allow the last three years reduction made in the O&M expenses with regard to provision of postretirement benefits after creation of Post Retirement Fund is justified?
- ix. Whether the petitioner projected power purchase cost for the FY 2015-16, is justified?
- x. Whether the petitioner requested O&M cost of for the FY 2015-16, is justified?
- xi. Whether the petitioner requested depreciation charge for the FY 2015-16, is justified?
- xii. Whether the petitioner requested Return on Regulatory Asset Base for FY 2015-16, is justified?
- xiii. Whether the petitioner projected other income for the FY 2015-16, is reasonable?

- xiv. Whether exclusion of Late Payment Surcharge completely from other income, is justified?
- xv. Whether the petitioner's proposed Investment plan for the FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20, is justified, keeping in view the prospective benefits.
- xvi. Whether the petitioner's proposed prior year adjustment is justified?
- xvii. Whether the proposed revenue requirements and average sale rate for FY 2015-16, is justified?
- xviii. What will be the mechanism of charging Wheeling/Use of System Charges (UOSC) in case of network of XW-DISCOs are used for Wheeling?
- xix. Whether there is any major deviation in the petition from the NEPRA guidelines for determination of consumer-end tariff (Methodology & Process) notified vide SRO. 34(I) 2015 dated 16.01.2015?
- xx. What is the financial impact / loss of revenue due to TOU metering for cellular company connections and other similar connections?
- xxi. Whether the concerns raised by the intervener / commentator are justified?
- xxii. What are the concerns of the Petitioner on the application of domestic tariff for Government office, educational institutions and mosques?
- xxiii. Any other relief sought by the Petitioner.

The issues with respect to the IGTDP submitted by the petitioner if any will be uploaded soon.