



National Electric Power Regulatory Authority Islamic Republic of Pakistan

OFFICE OF THE
REGISTRAR

No. NEPRA/R/TCD-09/ 73 - 75

NEPRA Tower, Attaturk Avenue, G-5/1, Islamabad
Phone: 051-2013200, Ext.905, Fax: 2600026
Website: www.nepra.org.pk, Email: info@nepra.org.pk

2 - , — 2014

Chief Executive Officer
Karachi Electric Supply Company Ltd.
KESC House, Punjab Chowrangi,
39 – B, Sunset Boulevard, Phase-II
Defence Housing Authority
Karachi.

Subject: **Decision in the Matter of Complaint Filed by Mr. Ghulam Nabi under Section 39 of The
Regulation of Generation, Transmission and Distribution of Electric Power Act, 1997
Against KESC Regarding Detection Bill**
Complaint # KESC-25-2013

Please find enclosed the decision of Member (Consumer Affairs) in the subject matter for necessary action and compliance within 30 days of the receipt of this letter.

Encl : As Above

— SA —
(Iftikhar Ali Khan)
Deputy Registrar

Copy to:

1. Syed Muhammad Taha, Director Distribution Strategy, Karachi Electric Supply Company Ltd. KESC House, Punjab Chowrangi, 39 – B, Sunset Boulevard, Phase-II, Defence Housing Authority, Karachi.
2. Mr. Ghulam Nabi, E-450, Khudadad Colony, Karachi.

No. NEPRA/R/TCD-09/ 76

Forwarded for information and necessary action, please.

2014
2/1/14
Deputy Registrar

1. Director (CAD)
2. Master File [w.r.t. M (CA) D#3143 dated 26.12.2013]

CC:

1. Vice Chairman / Member (Tariff)
2. Member (M&E)
3. Member (C.A)



BEFORE THE
NATIONAL ELECTRIC POWER REGULATORY AUTHORITY
NEPRA

Complaint No. KESC-25-2013

Mr. Ghulam Nabi Complainant
E-450, Khudadad Colony,
Karachi.

Versus

Karachi Electric Supply Company Respondent
KESC House No.39-B
Sunset Boulevard Phase-II
Defence Housing Authority
Karachi.

Date of Decision: December 30, 2013

Date of Hearing: August 12, 2013

On behalf of:

Complainant: Mr. Ghulam Nabi

Respondent: 1. Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sheikh, General Manager, KESC
2. Mir Muslehuddin, Deputy General Manager, KESC

Subject: DECISION IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINT FILED BY MR. GHULAM NABI UNDER SECTION 39 OF THE REGULATION OF GENERATION, TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRIC POWER ACT, 1997 AGAINST KESC REGARDING DETECTION BILL (CONSUMER # AL050159)

Decision

1. This decision shall dispose of the complaint dated January 12, 2013 filed by Mr. Ghulam Nabi R/o Khudadad Colony Karachi (hereinafter referred to as the "Complainant") against Karachi Electric Supply Company (hereinafter referred to as the "Respondent" or "KESC") under Section 39 of the Regulation of Generation, Transmission and Distribution of Electric Power Act, 1997.

2. The Complainant in his complaint stated that one of the phases of his 3-phase electricity connection went out of order so he took one phase on temporary basis from other source. Upon his complaint, KESC team visited the site on September 26, 2012 and found the one phase out of order which was rectified by KESC officials and the extra phase was also removed on same

Was your report filed or police for registration of [REDACTED]?

As per Consumer Service Manual, ELB is a mandatory requirement in case of direct theft of electricity. If the consumer was involved in theft of electricity, KESCo should have issued ELB against him but the same was not done by KESCo. Even the meter

11

ELECTRICITY

The countercurrent is of the view that one of the three phases of the 3-phase electricity connection between out of order and he obtained one extra phase on temporary basis and lodged complaint with KESC for rectification, which was rectified by KESC and the extra phase was removed. KESC is of the opinion that the premises was inspected on September 26, 2012 and extra phase was found in use for the purpose of theft of

(1)

⁶ In this case has been analyzed in detail in light of documents provided by both the parties, applicable rules and arguments advanced during the hearing. The following has been concluded:

been followed as regards the Constitution.

3. To probe further into the matter, a hearing was held on August 12, 2013 at Karachin which was attended by both the parties wherein the parties advanced their arguments on the basis of their earlier versions. Pursuant to the hearing, some additional information with respect to billing came to light. Some additional information with respect to the same was submitted by KIISCC except the copy of FLR, and it was informed by KIISCC that no FLR has

The report of KIISG and reiterated his earlier version and denied use of extended load of 1191 kW. In response to the Government vide his letter dated June 15, 2013 raised his observations over the

3. The matter was taken up with KfSIC, for submission of para-wise counterparts. In response, KfSIC vide its letter dated February 11, 2013 reported that a site inspection was carried out at the premises of the Complainant after serving inspection notice dated September 26, 2012. During inspection, extra place was found in use. Therefore, a notice under section 39, 39A, 44 and 26A of Electricity Act, 1910 was served upon the Complainant which was acknowledged by M/s BILAL subsequently, a supplementary bill of 3061 units was processed on the basis of site inspection report covering a period of 6 months from February 23, 2012 to August 27, 2012 amounting to Rs.36,533/- KfSIC further stated that sanctioned load of the Complainant's connection is 1 kW whereas connected load was found as 11,191 kW and KfSIC has already issued a notice to the Complainant for regularization of load. The consumer/Complainant was involved in theft of electricity, therefore, supplementary bill charged to him is justified.

The letter on, NESC issued dated April 20th and incoming of NESC, of 2012, for the month of October 2012
the submitted applications to NESC for reclassification of the bill but the same was not received
The complainant requested that NESC be directed to withdraw the classified status in the
bill

- iii) KESC assessed consumption of the Complainant as 6180 units for the period from February 23, 2012 to August 27, 2012 (6 months) and after deducting 3119 units already charged for this period, a detection bill of 3061 units was charged.
- iv) The billing data of the Complainant shows that consumption for six months prior to date of checking i.e. March 2012 to August 2012 is 3119 units whereas for the corresponding months of 2011, the consumption was 3051 units. Consumption of the Complainant for 12 months after the checking i.e. October 2012 to September 2013 is 5872 and for corresponding months of previous year i.e. October 2011 to September 2012, the consumption was 5864 units. As such, there is no remarkable difference in the consumption pattern of the Complainant

7. As there is no recordable difference in consumption pattern of the Complainant either prior to or after checking by KESC, also there is no F.I.R. against the Complainant for direct theft of electricity and even the matter was not reported to police for registration of F.I.R. by KESC, therefore the detection bill charged by KESC is unjustified and is required to be withdrawn. However, the Complainant himself has admitted the use of extra phase from other source which is illegal, therefore, KESC is directed to revise the detection bill from 6 months to 2 months as per the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Detection units to be charged} &= \frac{\text{detection units already charged} \times 2}{6} \\ &= \frac{3061 \times 2}{6} = 1020 \text{ units} \end{aligned}$$

Islamabad, December 30, 2013



(Maj (R) Haroon Rashid)
Member (Consumer Affairs)