

**Issues for hearing in the matter of Petition filed by GEPCO for determination of tariff for Distribution of Power for the Financial Year 2018-19.**

1. The following issues have been framed to be considered during the hearing and for presenting written as well as oral evidence and arguments;
  - i. Whether the Petitioner has complied with the direction of the Authority given in its earlier determination?
  - ii. Whether the basis used by the Petitioner for bifurcation of its costs into supply and distribution segments are justified?
  - iii. As provided in NEPRA Amendment Act, 2018, GEPCO as Distribution Licensee shall be deemed to hold Supply License also for a period of 5-years. In this regard, GEPCO is required to explain its organizational restructuring in respect of segregation of responsibilities for Distribution Business and Sale Business?
  - iv. As per NEPRA Amendment Act, 2018, obligations of procurement of assets including meters (for satisfying its services) and disconnection / reconnection services (on demand of Supplier) are with Distribution Licensee whereas procedure for metering, billing, collection of approved charges and recovery of arrears are the obligations of Supply Licensee. In this scenario, GEPCO is required to state the mode and manner being developed and followed for appropriate coordination between Distribution Licensee and Supply Licensee?
  - v. Whether the projected energy to be sold is reasonable?
  - vi. Whether the projected Net Distribution Margin (excluding RoRB) is justified?
  - vii. Whether the projected Return on Regulatory Asset base (RORB) for the FY 2018-19 is justified?
  - viii. Whether the distribution margin should be recovered on Rs./kW or Rs./kWh basis?
  - ix. Whether the requested T&D loss target is reasonable?
  - x. Why GEPCO did not submit its five year IGTDP as per requirements under NEPRA Consumer End Tariff Methodology for approval of the Authority? GEPCO is required to submit IGTDP on prescribed formats immediately.
  - xi. Whether the requested investment without submission of five Year IGTDP as required is justified? Petitioner must provide the project wise detailed report along with rationale against the requested investment.
  - xii. Whether the ToU meters installed on Residential connections have the capability to record MDI?
  - xiii. As per Amendment Act, 2018, responsibilities of DISCO and Supplier have been bifurcated. GEPCO is required to submit overall organogram which broadly describe its role/functions as DISCO and Supplier.
  - xiv. Whether the concerns raised by the intervener/ commentator if any are justified?
  - xv. Any other issue that may come up during or after the hearing?