Issues of Public Hearing Regarding Application(s) of Entities for Grant of Distribution and Supply Licence(s)

- (i). Under which scheme/policy the industrial estate/economic zone(s) are being developed? Why there is a need to have Distribution and Supply Licence(s) for the same? Can the industrial estate/economic zone not be served by the concerned utility/DISCO?
- (ii). What is the experience of the applicant(s) in the field of Distribution and Supply of electric power business? Whether the applicant has the required financial, technical and human resource capability to undertake the said activities?
- (iii). Whether the Industrial Estates/Economic Zones being developed are interested in seeking grant of Competitive Supplier (CS) Licence or Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR)?
- (iv). Whether the Distribution Licence can be granted without the Supply Licence or vice versa, etc., in light of the provisions of the NEPRA Act, Rules, Regulations, approved CTBCM Designs and other applicable documents?
- (v). What is the scheme and source of electric power generation that the applicant plans for Distribution and Supply to the consumers of the industrial state/economic zone? Whether the CS/SoLR can be granted in the absence of confirmation of generation source?
- (vi). What is the ultimate load of the industrial estate/economic zone? What is the total number of existing/proposed consumers and their mix? How many consumers are more than 1.00 MW and how many are less than 1.00 MW?
- (vii). How can the above arrangement be dovetailed in the context of the CTBCM model which allows a CS to supply electric power to Bulk Power Consumer (1.00 MW and above) only?
- (viii). What is the status of supply arrangement from the utility, including number of different sources, the tariff at which supply is being obtained and transmission line/feeder(s) arrangement etc.?

- (ix). Whether the applicant is aware of the fact that it cannot take electric supply from the utility/DISCO for further distribution/supply to the consumers of the industrial estate/economic zone as the Supplier to Supplier sale is not envisaged in the NEPRA Act?
- (x). What will be the future investment plan of the applicant(s) to meet with the future demand of the Industrial Estates and Economic Zones if the Authority decides to grant the Distribution and Supply Licence(s)?
- (xi). Why the applicant considers that getting the Supply Licence is essential? Will it not be appropriate that the applicant gets the licence for distribution and the Authority declares the concerned utility/DISCO as its Supplier of Last Resort?
- (xii). What is the type of distribution network i.e. overhead or underground? What is the status of the same i.e. laid or under construction? Who is the owner of the network and who operates and maintains the same?
- (xiii). Whether the applicant(s) are already supplying electric power to the consumers of the industrial estate/economic zone? If yes, what is the regulatory framework which is being followed and what is the consumer-end tariff?
- (xiv). In its comments, KEL has raised issues of (a). simultaneous procurement of power from CS and SoLR; (b). aligning of one point supply with the applicable regulatory framework and design of CTBCM; (c). stranded cost and cross-subsidy surcharge; and (d). CTBCM Model as a single framework for open market/bilateral transactions. What are your comments on the said issues?