

### **Issues for hearing 120 MW and 150 MW RFP**

- i. Whether optimization in an iteration of IGCEP means confirmation of the signing of PPA even if there is a possibility of the project not being optimized in subsequent years in IGCEP because of low demand/GDP?
- ii. Whether the proposal of KE to hold upto 49% of equity share in the SPV is prudent and in compliance with Regulation 9(1) of EPPR (2022)?
- iii. Whether the proposed BOOT regime for the development of the project is justified?
- iv. Whether the technical and financial evaluation criteria as proposed in the REP is justified?
- v. Whether the cost of land, as proposed in the REP, is justified? vi. Whether the proposed construction period in the RFP is justified?
- vi. Whether the proposed indexation mechanism is justified?
- vii. Whether the treatment of the income tax, if applicable, as proposed in the RFP is justified?
- viii. Whether the instant project is included in PAP and is in compliance of section 6 (5) of NEPRA Electric Power Procurement Regulations 2022?
- ix. Whether the timelines to be followed for auction are in accordance with the approved PAP as per section 8 (2) of EPPR (2022)?
- x. Whether as per section 8 (3) of EPPR (2022), the investment for connection and infrastructure development are approved in TSEP investment program?
- xi. Whether KE has complied with the principles for competitive auctions as stipulated in section 12 of EPPR (2022)?
- xii. Whether the eligibility criteria for pre-qualification of the bidder is justified and in compliance with section 13 of the EPPR (2022)?
- xiii. Whether the contents of RFP are in compliance with section 15 of EPPR (2022)? xv. Whether the proposed auction and evaluation committees are in compliance with section 17 of EPPR (2022)?
- xiv. Any other issue with the approval of the Authority.