ISSUES FRAMED BY THE AUTHORITY FOR THE HEARING OF PETITION FILED BY NATIONAL GRID COMPANY (NGC) FOR DETERMINATION OF USE OF SYSTEM CHARGE (UoSC) FOR THE YEARS FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 AND. FY 2024-25.

Below mentioned issues were framed by the Authority for hearing, which is to be held as per following schedule:

i.	**Date**	**: Sep 25, 2025**
ii.	**Time**	**: 02:00 pm**
iii.	**Venue**	**: NEPRA Tower, G-5/1, Islamabad**
iv.	**Zoom Link**	**: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88990358262**
v.	**Meeting ID**	**: 889 9035 8262**

i. Whether the Petitioner's requested General Establishment & Administration (GE&A) cost Rs. 18,097 million, Rs. 24,330 million & Rs. 24,961 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 is justified?

ii. Whether the Petitioner's request for pension fund contribution for Rs 3,834 million, Rs 4,916 million and Rs 6,146 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 respectively is justified?

iii. Whether the proposed Repair & Maintenance expenditures for Rs. 1,550 million, Rs. 1,831 million and Rs. 2,373 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 is justified?

iv. Whether the petitioner's projected insurance of Rs. 305 million, Rs. 344 million and Rs. 378 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 is justified?

v. Whether the petitioner's projected depreciation Rs. 15,309 million, Rs. 16,367 million and Rs. 18,668 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 is justified?

vi. Whether the cost claimed on the account of financial charges of Rs. 16,021 million, Rs. 19,697 million and Rs. 20,661 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 is justified?

vii. Whether the Petitioner's projected Return on Equity Rs. 47,312 million, Rs. 63,181 million and Rs. 49,625 million at 19.11%, 19.98 % and 14.44% respectively for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 is justified?

viii. Whether the cost claimed on the account of corporate taxes of 3,245 million and 2,016 million for the FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 is justified?

ix. Whether the proposed cost of exchange loss/gain 2,482 million, (892) million and 972 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 is reasonable?

x. Whether the Petitioner's projected Other Income of Rs. 5,216 million, Rs. 6,863 million and Rs. 7,011 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 is reasonable?

xi. Whether the requested over investment amount, as against approved investment plan, claimed by NGC in Tariff Petition is prudent?

xii. Whether the proposed Average Monthly MDI MW/Month 19,589, 19,118 & 19,641 for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 on Coincidental Basis by the petitioner is justified?

xiii. Whether the cost claimed of Rs 9,450 million, Rs 38,528 million and Rs 92,774 million for the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, and FY 2024-25 on the account of Prior Year Adjustments (PYA) is justified?

xiv. Whether the invoicing and settlement mechanism of NGC with DISCOs/PMLTC should be bilateral or otherwise? And whether NGC request for interest payment is justified?

xv. Whether NGC request to operate as one window facilitator for ISMO till its fee is determined by the Authority is justified?

xvi. What measures have been taken by NTDC to reduce the number of unplanned/forced outage events at grid stations and transmission lines? And Whether cybersecurity, grid protection, and disaster resilience measures have been adequately implemented by NGC during the control period?

xvii. Whether NGC has completed the SCADA-III project as per deadline given in its approved investment plan? In case of non-completion, NGC is required to state the reason(s) for delays, firm time frame for SCADA-III completion along with cost and time overruns.

xviii. What is the current status of the third-party independent consultant's study on the qualitative and quantitative assessment of NGC transmission and transformation (T&T) losses, along with recommendations for future improvements?

xix. Whether essential and non-essential grid stations auxiliaries metering arrangement is separated and completed?

xx. Whether the metering of non-essential auxiliaries consumption is complete and separate for energy accounting, billing and settlement purpose through the respective SOLRs ?

xxi. Whether the essential auxiliaries energy consumption should be made part of T&T losses or billing and settlement be charged through respective SOLRs ?

xxii. Any other issue with the permission of the chair.