List of issues for hearing in the matter of modification petition filed by SK Hydro for 884 MW Suki Kinari Hydropower Project.

i. Whether the Design Changes and resultant reduction in EPC cost by USD 28.604 million is justified?
ii. Whether the additional cost of USD 28.985 million claimed on account of the permanent diversion of the N-15 Highway, is justified?
iii. Whether, based on the approved Design change, the revision of Contract Capacity from 861.548 MW to 875.160 MW, following the increase in installed capacity from 870 MW to 884 MW, is justified?
iv. Whether the additional Project Cost claimed for procurement and installation of two banks of 3 × 22 MVAr Shunt Reactors and associated consultancy services in the amount of USD 11.372 million and PKR 915.180 million respectively is justified?
v. Whether the proposed mechanism of replacing the discontinued PICC indices with the Pakistan Bureau of Statistics (PBS) indices for Abbottabad and replacement of other input element for indexation of Civil Works, is justified?
vi. Whether the additional cost of PKR 918.635 million claimed for additional infrastructure for special security arrangements during the construction period is justified?
vii. Whether the additional infrastructure cost inclusive of engineering consultancy services (PKR 1,945.963 million + PKR 39.964 million) for special security arrangements during the operation period is justified?
viii. Whether the request for inclusion of Provincial Sales Tax on Services (of non-adjustable nature) in project cost, imposed after determination of the Reference Tariff, is justified?
ix. Whether the incorporation of changes in the applicable Sindh Infrastructure Cess rates in project cost, during implementation of the Project is justified?
x. Whether the revision in Water Use Charge from Rs.0.15/kWh to Rs.0.425/kWh is justified?
xi. Whether the proposed methodology for calculation of Interest during construction and operations period by adopting the 360-day convention is justified?
xii. Whether the claimed increase in project development cost, insurance cost, project management cost, owner's engineer cost, legal service cost for the extended construction period due to COVID-19 pandemic is justified?
xiii. Whether the claimed adjustments in Interest During Construction (IDC) and Return on Equity During Construction (ROEDC) resulting from the extension of the construction period due to COVID-19 pandemic are justified?
xiv. Whether the costs claim of USD 30 million on account of COVID-19, including anti-epidemic measures is justified?
xv. Whether the request to allow USD 15.5 million on account of an agreement for acceleration of progress following Covid 19 pandemic, USD 2.82 million on account of acceleration for mechanical and electrical installation, USD 1.742 million + Rs 243.672 million on account of acceleration of wet testing of complex is justified
xvi. Whether the Sinosure cost based on a cap of 7% on the total debt servicing (principal + interest) during the repayment period instead of total assessed debt amount is justified?
xvii. Whether the O&M requirement and cost have been reassessed on account of the approved design changes and reflected in the tariff modification petition?
xviii. Any other issue(s) the Authority deems appropriate.