



Before the Appellate Board
National Electric Power Regulatory Authority
(NEPRA)
Islamic Republic of Pakistan

NEPRA Office, Atta Turk Avenue (East), G5/1, Islamabad
Tel. No. +92 051 2013200 Fax No. +92 051 2600030
Website: www.nepra.org.pk E-mail: office@nepra.org.pk

No. NEPRA/AB/Appeal-091/POI-2016/ 1396 -1394

November 08, 2016

1. Abdul Rasheed,
(M/s Ali Brothers),
Plot No. CI-57, Sector 6/B,
Industrial Area, North Karachi,
Karachi
2. The Chief Executive Officer,
K-Electric,
KE House, 39-B,
Sunset Boulevard, DHA-II,
Karachi
3. Asif Shajer,
Deputy General Manager,
K-Electric, KE House, 39-B,
Sunset Boulevard, DHA-II,
Karachi
4. Ms. Tatheera Fatima,
Deputy General Manager,
K-Electric Ltd,
3rd floor, KE Block,
Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal,
Karachi
5. Electric Inspector,
Karachi Region-II,
Block No. 51, Pak Secretariat,
Shahra-e-Iraq, Saddar,
Karachi

Subject: **Appeal Titled K-Electric Ltd Vs. Abdul Rasheed Against the Decision Dated 06.04.2016 of the Electric Inspector/POI to Government of the Sindh Karachi Region-II, Karachi**

Please find enclosed herewith the decision of the Appellate Board dated 04.11.2016, regarding the subject matter, for information and necessary action accordingly.

Encl: **As Above**

(Ikram Shakeel)

No. NEPRA/AB/Appeal-091/POI-2016/ 1395

November 08, 2016

Forwarded for information please.


Assistant Director
Appellate Board

1. Registrar
2. Director (CAD)

CC:

1. Member (CA)



National Electric Power Regulatory Authority

Before Appellate Board

In the matter of

Appeal No. NEPRA/Appeal-091/2016

K-Electric Ltd

Versus

.....Appellant

Abdul Rasheed, (M/s Ali Brothers), Plot No. CI-57,
Sector 6/B, Industrial Area, North Karachi, Karachi

.....Respondent

For the appellant:

Mr. Imran Hanif Assistant Manager

For the respondent:

Mr. Abu Baker Usman Advocate

DECISION

1. The instant appeal has been filed by K-Electric against the impugned decision dated 06.04.2016 of Provincial Office of Inspection, Karachi Region-II, Karachi (POI). It is observed that name of M/s. Ali Brothers has been shown in the bracket along with Mr. Abdul Rasheed as the respondent. The title of the respondent is ambiguous and creates confusion regarding the respondent. It makes difficult for us to ascertain as to who is the respondent; to whom notice of the appeal could be issued.
2. For the reasons given in foregoing paragraph, without considering merits of the case, the impugned decision is set aside and matter is remanded back to POI for an appropriate decision clearly mentioning the name of the respondent.

Muhammad Qamar-uz-Zaman
Member

Nadir Ali Khoso
Convener

Muhammad Shafique
Member

Date: 04.11.2016

