

## National Electric Power Regulatory Authority Islamic Rebublic of Pakistan

2nd Floor, OPF Building, G-5/2, Islamabad Ph: 051-9206500, 9207200, Fax: 9210215 E-mail: registrar@nepra.org.pk

Registrar

No. NEPRA TCD-09 10973-75

M-12.2012

Chief Executive Officer
Karachi Electric Supply Company Ltd. (KESC)
Punjab Chowrangi, KESC House,
39 - B. Sunset Boulevard, Phase-II
Defence Housing Authority
Karachi.

Subject:

Decision of Member (Consumer Affairs) in the matter of Complaint filed by Mr. Muhammad Ameen under Section 39 of the Regulation of Generation, Transmission and Distribution of Electric Power Act, 1997 against KESC regarding Detection Bill (Consumer No. LA-316069)

Please find enclosed herewith the decision of Member (Consumer Affairs) regarding the subject matter for necessary action and compliance within 30 days of the receipt of this decision.

Encl: As above

(Syed Safeer Hussain)

Copy:

- 1. Mr. Rafique Sheikh
  Deputy General Manager (Regulatory Affairs)
  Karachi Electric Supply Company Ltd.
  Punjab Chowrangi, KESC House,
  39 B. Sunset Boulevard, Phase-II
  Defence Housing Authority
  Karachi.
- 2. Mr. Muhammad Ameen D-110, Block-4, Gulshan-e-lqbal Karachi

No. NEPRA TCD 09/10/176

Forwarded for information, please.

2012

Registrar

Senior Advisor (CAD)

Master File [w.r.t. Dy. No. 1339 dated 14.12.2012]

CO # 3111 dt 17.12.12

CC:

- 1. Chairman
- 2. Member (Licensing)
- 3. Member (Tariff)
- 4. Member (C.A)

Ps 79/12/12



## BEFORE THE NATIONAL ELECTRIC POWER REGULATORY AUTHORITY NEPRA

## Complaint No. KESC-254-2012

Mr. Mohammad A R/o D 110, Block-d, Karachi	<b>meen</b> , Gulshan e Iqbal		Complainant
		Versus	
Karachi Electric Su KESC House No 39 Sunset Boulevard Phy Defence Housing Au Karachi	·B ase-H	1	Respondent
Date of Decision:	December ]] , .	2012	
Date of Hearing:	October 16, 201	2	
On behalf of:			
Complainant:	None		
Respondent:	Mr. Amer Zia, D	irector (Strategy, Planning	and Compliances)

## Decision

(CONSUMER NO: LA-316069)

Subject DECISION IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINT FILED BY MR.

MOHAMMAD AMEEN UNDER SECTION 39 OF THE REGULATION OF GENERATION, TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRIC POWER ACT, 1997 AGAINST KESC REGARDING DETECTION BILL

1. This decision shall dispose of the complaint dated July 02, 2012 filed by Mr. Mohammad Ameen r/o D110, Block-I, Gulshan e-Iqbal Karachi (hereinafter referred to as "the Complainant") against Karachi Electric Supply Company (heremafter referred to as "the Respondent" or "KESC").

- 2 The Complanant in his complaint stated that KESC charged him a detection bill of Rs.338,834/- which is unjustified as he had been paying his monthly electricity bills regularly. The Complanant requested for correction of his electricity bill.
- 3. To proceed with the matter, the complaint was referred to the KESC vide letter dated July 05, 2012 for submission of para wise reply. In response, KESC vide its letter dated July 16, 2012 stated that the connected load of the Complainant is 23,23 kW against sanctioned load of 1.746 kW. A site inspection was carried out at the premises of the Complainant after serving the inspection notice dated May 15, 2012. As per site inspection report, meter terminal strip found reopened, meter found slow on blue phase due to shunt. A notice under section 39, 39A, 41 & 26A of the Electricity Act, 1910 dated May 15, 2012 was served upon the consumer in order to give him a fair opportunity to explain the reason of discrepancy but no response was received within the stipulated time. A reminder as final notice was sent in June 2012. Consequently, a detection bill of 18955 units was processed on the basis of Site Inspection Report (SIR) covering the period from November 18, 2011 to May 21, 2012 amounting to Rs.309,204/-. KESC further submitted that the Complainant is involved in illegal abstraction of electricity; therefore the detection bill is justified and hable to be paid by the Complainant.
- 4. The report of KESC was communicated to the Complainant for his information/rejoinder. In response, the Complainant vide letter dated August 12, 2012 made his observations over the report of KESC and informed that the allegation of KESC regarding connected load of 23.23kW was baseless and moreover no notice was served upon him. The Complainant also denied the allegation of KESC regarding presence of shunt in the meter. He submitted that the impugned meter was replaced by KESC and a digital meter was installed and after replacement of the meter there had been no difference in consumption and his consumption was between 1300 to 1400 units per month despite having standby generator and 6 hours load shedding.
- The matter was again taken up with KESC vide letter dated September 04, 2012 in light of observations of the Complainant and some information regarding billing history of the premises, breakup of detection bill, assessment of load, etc. was sought from KESC which was submitted by KESC vide letter dated September 07, 2012.
- 6. To further explore the matter, a hearing was held on October 16, 2012 at Consumer Affairs Division which was attended only by KESC whereas the Complainant informed that he is diabetic and could not travel form Karachi to Islamabad. During the hearing, KESC's representative argued the case on the basis of earlier points and further submitted that the Complainant was involved in theft of electricity and after replacement of meter, the consumption of the Complainant had increased. KESC representative informed that the connected load of the Complainant is 24.5 kW.
- The case has been examined in light of documents provided by both the parties and arguments advanced by the Respondent during the hearing. If the Complainant extended his load then KESC was required to take action and issued notice to the complainant as per the provisions of Consumer Service Manual but there is no such action or notice by KESC. Moreover, as per provisions of Consumer Service Manual, there is a procedure for establishing illegal abstraction of electricity which provides securing the existing meter in presence of the consumer or his representative, installation of check meter, involving local representatives, issuance of notice and examining the reply of the consumer. Once illegal abstraction is confirmed, then detection bills is to be restricted to three billing cycles and maximum up to six months with the approval of CEO and in such case action is also required by DISCO against the officer incharge for not being vigilant enough. The premises of the Complainant was inspected on May 15, 2012 and as per KESC the meter terminal found reopened and meter was slow on blue phase due to shunt. Detection bill of 18955 units for the period from November 18, 2011 to May 21, 2012 amounting to Rs. 309,204/- was prepared. The KESC assessed total consumption of the Complamant as 24634 units during the said period and after deducting 5679 units already charged the net

chargeable units as detection bill are 18955 units. The record submitted by 1515C does not establish that the procedure had down in Consumer Service Manual was followed:

8 - 7 gest of the billing data provided by KESC in respect of the Complantan's premises for the years 2010, 2011 and 2012 is as under:

	0.5.	-185	December
-	588	£09	November
-	157	91:6	Осюрск
67.1	8.13	1911	Schrember
5152	1:96	1:901	180gu /.
1154	186	[000]	ylu{
9551	1012	†£6	อนท(
1359	1001	6_8	May
ççi i	158	_88	lingA
813		F.C.	March
050	_SF	71t	Бершацу
_1_	91-9	81:1:	Airnurf
(siinU)	(siinU)	(siinU)	
7107	1107	2010	Months

The premises of the Complainant was checked on May 15, 2012 and the meter was replaced in June 2012. KESC has not followed the complete procedure for establishing illegal abstraction of electricity as envisaged in Consumer Service Manual. The consumption pattern in the above table shows that the consumption of the Complainant after replacement of meter has increased as compared to the corresponding months of the previous two years. There is justification in charging difference of units but the quantum of units charged by KESC is on the higher side. Moreover, it will be appropriate to work of units charged by KESC is on the higher side. Moreover, it will be appropriate to work out the consumption for the disputed period of November 18, 2011 to May 21, 2012 (6 months) on the basis of average consumption recorded during the months from June 2012 after replacement of defective meter.

9. - Foregoing in view, MESC is directed to revise the detection lift of the Complainant as per التصويفيون واستاها على التحديد التحدي

(Average monthly consumption (units) for June 2012 to September 2012)  $\times$  6 - (Units already charged by MESC during Movember 18, 2011 to May 21, 2012)

Unis to be charged during the period Movember 18, 2011 = to May 21, 2012

Member (Consumer Mairs)

Islamabad, December 🍴 , 2012