

National Electric Power Regulatory Authority

ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN

Provincial Office

1st Floor, Link Arcade, 54B, GECH Society, Phase 3, Link Road, Model Town, Lahore. Phone: 042-99333931

Consumer Affairs Department

POL.05/ -2025 September/, 2025

Chief Executive Officer Lahore Electric Supply Company (LESCO), 22-A, Queens Road, <u>Lahore</u>.

Subject: DECISION IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINT FILED BY MR. GHULAM A JAS S/O IQBAL HAIDER SECTION 39 OF THE REGULATION OF GENERATION, TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRIC POWER ACT, 1997 AGAINST LESCO REGARDING DETECTION BILL (REF# 06 11111 0632403 U) Case No. LESCO-LHR-47545-12-24

Please find enclosed herewith the decision of NEPRA Complaints Resolution Committee (CRC), dated September/6, 2025 regarding the subject matter for necessary action, please.

Encl: As above

(Aisha Kalsoom) Assistant Director (CAD)

Copy to:

- 1. Chief Engineer/Customer Services Director, LESCO, 22-A, Queen's Road Lahore.
- Manager/Incharge Central Complaint Cell LESCO, (Focal Person, NEPRA), LESCO, 22-A, Queens Road, <u>Lahore</u>.
- 3. S.E 1stCircle LESCO, 132 kvSuggian Grid Station, Abdul QadirJilaniRoad, Lahore.
- 4. XEN Gulshan e Ravi Division, LESCO 88-A, Mian Road, Gulshan-e-Ravi, <u>Lahore</u>
- Mr. Ghulam Abbas S/O Iqbal Haider
 R/O House No. 124, Mohallah Dio Samaj Road, Sant Nagar, <u>Lahore Cell# 0300-3000405</u>



BEFORE THE NATIONAL ELECTRIC POWER REGULATORY AUTHORITY (NEPRA)

Complaint No. LESCO-LHR-47545-12-24

Mr. Ghulam Abbas R/O House No. 124, Mohallah Dio Samaj Road, Sant Nagar, <u>Lahore</u>.

...... Complainant

Versus

Lahore Electric Supply Company (LESCO) 22-A, Queens Road, Lahore.

...... Respondent

Date of Hearing:

July 22, 2025

August 26, 2025

Complainant:

Mr. Ghulam Abbas

Respondent:

Mr. Nauman Bhatti, XEN (Operation), LESCO

Mr. Munir Ahamd, Revenue Officer, LESCO

Subject: DECISION IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINT FILED BY MR. GHULAM ABBAS

S/O IOBAL HAIDER SECTION 39 OF THE REGULATION OF GENERATION,

TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRIC POWER ACT, 1997

AGAINST LESCO REGARDING DETECTION BILL (REF # 06-11111-0632403)

DECISION

This decision shall dispose of the complaint filed by Mr. Ghulam Abbas (hereinafter referred to as the "Complainant") against Lahore Electric Supply Company Limited (hereinafter referred to as the "Respondent" or "LESCO"), under Section 39 of the Regulation of Generation, Transmission and Distribution of Electric Power Act, 1997 (hereinafter referred to as the "NEPRA Act").

- 2. NEPRA received a complaint wherein it was submitted that the Complainant was charged with a detection bill by LESCO amounting to Rs. 357,137/- during the month of November, 2024. Accordingly, the matter was taken up with LESCO for submission of para-wise comments/report. However, LESCO failed to submit the required report within stipulated time period. In order to probe further into the matter, hearings were held at NEPRA Provincial Office, Lahore which was attended by representatives of both the parties i.e. LESCO and the Complainant wherein the matter was discussed in detail. During the hearing, LESCO official reported that detection bill of 5912 units was charged against the Complainant's account on the pretext of meter tampering i.e. shunt in meter, which was disputed by the Complainant.
- 3. The case has been examined in detail in the light of written/verbal arguments of both the parties and applicable law. The following has been concluded.
 - i. The Complement's residential connection installed against reference number i.e. 06-11/14-0632403 was charged a detection bill of 5912 units during the

CRC Decision-Mr. Ghukan Appas, LESCO-LHR-47545-12-24

Page | 1

month of September, 2024. The dispute raised by the Complainant was that the exorbitant detection bill inconsiderate of minimal load and without evidence has been charged by LESCO.

- ii. Perusal of the documentary evidence reveals that the Complainant was charged detection bill for the period of 6 months i.e. January to June, 2024 on the basis of load i.e. (3.9) kW along with (2) AC which is inconsistent with the clause 9.2.3 of the Consumer Service Manual (CSM) for charging detection bill in case of the illegal abstraction i.e. meter tampering as per which the corresponding detection shall be charged in an order of priority i.e. previous consumption history, future consumption and lastly on the load basis as envisaged in same clause and only for the maximum period of (3) months which has not been followed by LESCO in the instant matter.
- iii. The billing history of the Complainant is as follows:

Month/Year	2022	2023	2024	2025
January	132	134	110	0
February	128	104	Detection 60	0
March	126	136		0
April	499	134	period. 109	0
May	789	281	211	, 0
June	668	264	536	-
July	712	876	506	-
August	590	823	0	-
September	740	870	0	-
October	459	369	6 †	_
November	169	163	0	-
December	142	99	0	-

- iv. Scrutiny of the Complainant's electricity consumption during detection period in comparison with the corresponding months of previous years and preceding months reveals unhealthy consumption, categorizing the same as suspicious period. Thus, scrutiny of the Complainant's electricity consumption does reflect considerable dip during the disputed period. The same, then, argue against the version of Complainant upon which the Complainant failed to submit any patent justification. As above, the Complainant failed to maintain the healthy consumption which implicates the Complainant in alleged illegal abstraction as alleged by LESCO.
- v. Taking cognizant of the above, keeping in line with clause 9.2.3 of CSM and after duly considering the contentions of Complainant regarding volume of the detection bill, it is concluded that detection bill charged to the Complainant is on the higher side and does not prove to be a legitimate tool to recover revenue loss over period of six months. Hence, detection bill is required to be revised for the period of only three month on connected load basis as per relevant clause of CSM.
- 4. Foregoing in view, LESCO is directed to revise the aforementioned detection bill of 5912 units, charged during September, 2024 for period of three month and revised bill be issued to the Complained within thirty (30) days.

CRC Decision-Mr. Ghillam Abbas, LESCO-LHR-47545-12-24

Page | 2

5. Instant matter is hereby disposed of on the above terms.

(Uhaid Khan)

Member Complaints Resolution Committee/Assistant Director (CAD)

Lahore, September //, 2025

(Aisna Kalsoom)

Member Complaints Resolution Committee/Assistant Director (CAD)

