

Registrar

National Electric Power Regulatory Authority

Islamic Republic of Pakistan

2nd Floor, OPF Building, G-5/2, Islamabad, Ph: 051-9206500, 9207200, Fax: 9210215 E-mail: registrar@nepra.org.pk

No. NEPRA/TRF-208/SPL-2012 /11501-11503 September 23, 2013

Subject: Decision of the Authority on Review Petition filed by Saif Power Limited against Decision dated 07.02.2013 (Case No. NEPRA/TRF-208/SPL-2012)

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith the subject Decision of the Authority (02 pages) in the matter of Motion for Leave for Review filed by Saif Power Ltd. against Authority's Tariff Decision dated 07.02.2013 in Case No. NEPRA/TRF-208/SPL-2012 for information.

Enclosure: <u>As above</u>

(Syed Safeer Hussain)

Secretary Ministry of Water & Power 'A' Block, Pak Secretariat Islamabad

CC:

- 1. Secretary, Cabinet Division, Cabinet Secretariat, Islamabad.
- 2. Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Islamabad.



DECISION OF AUTHORITY ON REVIEW PETITION FILED BY SAIF POWER LIMITED AGAINST DECISION DATED 7.02.2013

- Saif Power Limited (hereinafter referred to as, "Petitioner") submitted Motion for Review under Rule 16(6) of the NEPRA (Tariff Standards and Procedure) Rules, 1998 (hereinafter referred to as, "Rules") read with other applicable provisions of NEPRA Laws against decision of the Authority dated 7.02.2013 (hereinafter referred to as, "the Decision").
- 2. Brief facts of the case are that the Petitioner filed a tariff petition under Rule 3 of the Rules for modification of its generation tariff before National Electric Power Regulatory Authority (hereinafter referred to as, "the **Authority**"). After admission of petition, a public hearing was conducted wherein the petitioner explained the additional costs to be incurred by it on account of operation of plant on High Speed Diesel instead of gas and requested to modify the tariff. The Authority after consideration of arguments of the petitioner, documentary evidence produced by the Petitioner, the comments of stakeholders and evidence and information otherwise available with the Authority, dismissed the tariff petition of the petitioner through the Decision.
- 3. The petitioner filed Motion for Review (hereinafter referred to as, "**Review**") stating, inter alia, that the adjustment in O &M variable HSD component to make it 2.155 times the cost of O & M gas components ass against existing cost factor of 1.44 times, conducting of HSD Heat Rate test after each major maintenance and adjustment for specific gravity of HSD fuel may be allowed. To consider contentions of the Petitioner and to provide it an opportunity to explain its point of view, a pre-admission hearing in the matter was held on 30.04.2013 which was attended by the representatives of the petitioner. During hearing, the Petitioner reiterated its written submissions and requested to review the Decision.
- 4. Arguments heard. Record perused.
- 5. The Regulation 3 (2) of the Review Regulations provides that any party aggrieved from any order of the Authority and who, from the discovery of new and important matter of evidence or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of record or from any other sufficient reasons, may file a motion seeking review of such order. Further Regulation 3 (7) of the Review Regulations read with Rule 16(9) of the Rules provides that the motion for leave for review may be refused by the Authority if it considers that the review would not result in the withdrawal or





modification of the order. The Petitioner has failed to bring any new and important matter of evidence which was not considered by the Authority at the time of passing of the Decision and also failed to point out any mistake or error apparent on the fact of the record. The fact of matter which is also evident from the perusal of the Decision is that all material facts and documents were in the knowledge of the Authority and the record clearly shows that the Authority issued the Decision after consideration of all material facts and documents. Therefore, the Authority is of view that the Review is not maintainable in terms of Regulation 3 (2) of the Review Regulations read with Rule 16(9) of the Rules and the same is hereby dismissed.

AUTHORITY:

(Habibullah Khilji)

Member

21913

(Maj. Retd Haroon Rashid) Member

2U1 Khawaja Muhammadi

Member/Vice Chairman

